GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Eight

Part B for November 1921

SUBJECT.

Discontinuance of extra mural labour of Convicts.

For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 110

.....1921-22.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

ause ix of the schedule)

Discontinuance of extra mural labour of convicts.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

Offg. *Chief Secretary to Government.*

..... 29th August ..... 19..21.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

First Member of Council. Opinion recorded

31. 8. 21

Second Member of Council. Opinion recorded.

Third Member of Council.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

---

1) Re abolition of Extra mural labour in lieu of which to recall in a Convict & three Municipal Peons in Jail dept.

2) Please submit the file relating thereto.

21/3

Puli

21/3

3) The file regarding Extra-mural labour (Pin 70-18) is put up for reference for pin 58-1 regarding the improvement of Jail Hospital which is said to be under discussion in Council

Ltr to DJ 28/3

4) Send for perusal

The notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

---

7) files regarding Exhumation Labour & Contracts are submitted with reference to para 2.

A.T. 6/4

3rd Member D.M. 6/4

8) Please note list of Cemeteries & Memorials in which Exhumations have been carried out.

C 6/4/21

D.M. 6/4

9) The file mentioned in 1-4 regarding the selection of Establishments & the powers Deputy Controller in information on the point and it is not available as it is in Council

10 of 8.10. Calling for the information from the I.G. of Prisons Madras.

A.T. 14/4.

D.M. 14/4

D.O. No. J. 194869 14.4.21.

From the I.G. of Prisons D.O. No. 567 R1-21

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Diary no. 2166.

N.2

From

The I. G. of Prisons

D.O. no. 567/81-21 of 15.4.2

Informs that an expenditure of Rs. 577/- per month, or Rs. 6924 per annum is incurred in both the jails towards the cost of temporary warders employed in guarding the extra-mural convict labour.

Submitted with reference to para 8.

D.

18/4.

D. no. 18/4

18/4 3rd Member

If I hold the view that the grant of the demands for extra mural labour in jail, may be reconsidered as it involves an saving of Rs 6924 a year —

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P.W.D. File No. of 1922.

Serial No.

14) Submitted for orders as to how the question has further to be worked up.
28/4.

15) I am surprised at the above note of the C. W. Does he not know how to work up the case? Why did he not seek the instructions of the Subject?
D. M.
28/4

17) The information required at para 16 of the note is not available here.

18) D/L calling for the information submitted for favour of the orders.
A. SGB
30/4.
D. 30/4

The P.S. of P.W.D. vide D.O. No. G. 2042 dated 3/5/22 requested to forward a comparative Statement showing the receipts & expenditure & the savings likely to be effected by the abolition of extra mural labour. Reply has not yet been received. D/L. Do. Reminder submitted.
D. 17/5 SGB Reply has since been received.

Please put up a comparative statement showing the receipts & expenditure & the savings likely to be effected by the proposal (vide P. 11. of Pris 70 of 1918)
SGB

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Dis File No.

Serial No.

Submits a statement showing the receipts & expenditure & the probable Savings likely to be effected by the abolition of extra-mural labour in the Jails

2) The Savings is estimated at Rs 3491/- 1668

Rec'd 19/5

2nd member

20.5.21

21) Please work out the details as in the Chief Jail - My last dispensary, where the prisoners are living except the number of female prisoners, the rate per head, and the saving in working and finally the opinion of the Jail Commissioner - The figures required may be found in the Memo file -

23/5

25/5

A statement - bringing with the opinion of the Jail Commissioner Ordered.

27/6.

Send file re application of Convicts for work in Rumaita Park Linked for this for orders.

27/6.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

23533

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19 20

Serial No.	
	2) In D.O. letter dt 15.4.1921, the I.G. Jails has an expenditure of Rs 577/- per annum or Rs 6924/- per annum is incurred in both the jails towards the Cost of temporary warders employed in guarding the extramural Convict labourers. 3) From the statement received with I.G.'s D.O. dt 18th May 1921, it is seen that the expenditure incurred for temporary warders including the Cost of Uniform supplies to temporary warders is Rs 6112-10-6. A D.O. letter to the I.G. requesting him to explain the discrepancy is drafted. 3/6. SGB 25 June D. M. 7/6
5	To The S.E.C. Prisons No. E. 24094 dt 9.6.1921 Requests him to explain the discrepancies in the above.
6	From The I.G. of Prisons. No. G. 24054/3.6.192 With reference to the above states that the sum of Rs 6924/- is the budget provision and that the sum of Rs 6112-10-6 is the actual amount spent during the year 1920-21.

PROTECTION BRANCH. 14 JUN 1921

14/6

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No.

Serial No.

Re Note re Inspection of Anti-Cobra Serum at Kumara Park is kindly this procedure 7/7/26.

Attached put up 27/7. please put up

A Statement together with the opinion of the Jail Commissioner re. Cobra with reference to para 21.

D. 27/6.

Why do the reports of the Jail Comr not put up?

D. m. 2/7

2) A Statement is submitted with reference to para 21 which respectfully is invited to the suggestion regarding the opening of an Agricultural Park in para 223 (4) of the Report

D. m.

Rev Secy 9.7.26

2nd Member -

D. m. 9/7

Leg 3 20/7/26

2) Put up a suitable draft letter to the Resident to whom Private Secretary with the Memorandum & enclosure for Signature of the Secretary.

Draft of Resident please with put up

Secy

29/7 MM

D. m. 25/7

2) Two drafts put up D. 21/7 MM

Rev Secy

2nd Member

D. m. 25/7

Put up clean drafts as revised - MM 25.7.26

D. m. 25/7

In linked file is also submitted for orders

Copy of report of Jail Comm. to be put up with file MM 25/7/26

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

Submitted a copy of the Report D 25/7

I. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 1920

Serial No.

Typed draft letter to the Residency & the P. Secy to H.H. the Rajpramukh

Secy

24/7 Rev Secy

Dy. No. 307

are solicited in the enclosed file

Dy. No. 307

30.

2nd member).

30-7-21.

811 1-8-21

31 Separate drafts put up. ~~drafts approved.~~ Dy. No. 307

may be submitted when further replies receive the question may perhaps be considered in Council.

Secy 24/8

Dy. No. 307

Secy 24-8-21

Chief Secy, with Compts. 24-8-21.

Dy. No. 307

33 circulate 25/8.

I have never been in favour of this type of Extra mural Council labour. I think it ought to be stopped.

DIWAN'S OFFICE, RECEIVED AUG 23 1921 No. 1253

(C. No. 38 dt 24.8.21.)

Subject. - Clause ix of the schedule.

Discontinuance of extra mural labour of convicts.

...

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

...ment Hagen Palace,
...of, mentioning as matter
...the opinions supplied
...General, the Govt
...will also be affected by
...8/9

General Secy. with compliments

Rec
9/9

86 Revise accdg & submit to 3rd member for appl. before issue - 9.9.21

37 Draft revised & submitted for approval 9/9

3rd Member 38 Draft appd 10/9/21

16.9.21

89 Draft 15/9

R. S. III-2,

-25987

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pol. File No. of 19 .

Serial No. 7

To The Private Secy to H.H. the Maharaja of Jeypore

D.O. No. 9 1640 dt 20.9.1

requests the favour of his further views on the proposal.

From the Private Secy to H.H.

D.O. No. 860 of 28.9.21 - furnishes his further views in the matter of the discontinuance of the existing annual Cabinet of Convocation.

Submitted for orders with reference to para 35 ante. If may the residency be consulted on the point & their opinion obtained before a final decision is arrived at on the question of the proposed for the grant as settled on 23.3.20 in the Conference (vide Hy: T.) ~~in the letter dated~~ dated 29/9/21 from Foreign (Pols 70-18.)

29/9/21 (sd) Genl Secy) The opinion of the Private Secy to H.H. is practically against the measure.

29/9 Gen Secy)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.

are against the proposal file may be submitted for the information of the Dewan.

10.10.21

Dewan)

(2) Secy can circulate for the information

17.10.21

cacy 18/10/21.

(3) The reference to Mr Levy was [illegible] the resolution of the Council (para 35 of the note) Mr has perhaps to be circulated. Other Councillors for information. Gen Secy)

18/10 Send to Ch Secy

22.10.21

cacy 22.10.21.

(4) The Circulation (Ch Secy) for the Council to is usually done in Ch Secretariat before view of the Dewan may [illegible] circulation.

25/10/21

(5) This is submitted to inform Dewan that Ch Secy considered [illegible] Ch Secy)

28.10.21

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Rcd. on NOV 7 1921
Reg. No.
Note—Contn. sheet

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.



Statement showing the different places to which prisoners are being sent outside for work etc.

Places to which priconers are being sent.	No. of prisoners.	Rates that are charged per head.	Amount realised.	Expenditure on account of temporary warders including cost of their uniforms.	Loss to Government involved.	Opinion of the Jail Commission on extramural convict labour.
Bangalore City.						
Palace Grounds.	20	Two annas per head is being charged for when convict labour is supplied to the Departments.	Rs. 2620 -13 -6 during the year 1920.	Rs. 6,112 - 10 - 6.	Rs. 3,491 - 13-- 6.	The employments of convicts on local outdoor work for Municipal or other local bodies should discontinued. Para 217
Residency.	10 to 15					The employment of convicts on large public wo should be attempted only when climatic conditio are favourable and when the work is concentrate at a single place and will last for at least te years. Para 219.
Dewan's Residence.	10					When prisoners are employed on large public works, proper buildings should be erected for t accommodation of the prisoners, proper separati of habituals from casuals should be sec and for the most part, the ordinary discipline o permanent jail should be adhered to, Para 221
Front gate of the Jail.	5					Under the above conditions and safeguards, t construction of the jail buildings is a suit employment for convicts. Para 222
Rear gate. do.	3.					Finally, it must be agreed that in view of t difficulties surrounding the provisions of suit extramural work, the bulk of prison labour must as has long been recognised by the Govt. of Ind continue to be employed on intramural industrie Para 225
Mysore City.						
Palace Institutions.	7					
Curzon Parks.	3					
Gordon Park.	nil.					
Government House.	8					
Dewan's residence.	2					

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble

the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed to state that in view of the practice prevailing in British India t the question of abolishing the system of extra-mural employment of convicts is under the consideration of the Durbar for some time past. The arguments against the system are strong and convincing and it is not ~~nnn~~ necessary to ~~report~~ repeat them here. Attention may however be invited to paragraphs 216 to 225 of the recent report of the Indian Jails Committee, and ~~the~~ in view of the strong opinion expressed therein, the ~~Government of Mysore~~ Durbar have decided to abolish the system altogethe Under these circumstances I a the information of the Hon'bl ~~in Mysore,~~ that supply of co the Residency will have to b future.

A further communication will be sent in the matter in due course.

I have etc.,

Secretary to Government.
Revenue Department.

10/9/24

BJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 463

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Date of issue 14.4.21

Signed by Mir P.H.

Section Pris

File No. 47-4

Serial No. 1

D.O. No. G19488

To

The P.S. Officers

Dear Sir

I am desired to request you to be so good as to intimate to this office by return of post ~~ticket~~ the cost of the temporary warders establishment of the two jails at Bangalore & Bangalore in

In connection with the question of the abolition of extra mural labour

D.O. No. 567 Office of the Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore,
Dated, Bangalore, 15th April 1921.

Dear Sir,

As required in your D.O. letter No.G.19488/ H.R.I.S dated 14th April 1921, I write to inform you that an expenditure of Rs. 577/- per month, or Rs. 6924/- per annum as shown below, is incurred in both the Jails towards the cost of temporary warders employed in guarding the extra-mural convict labour.

Bangalore Central Jail.

1 Head Warder	Rs.	10
30 Warders at Rs.7/- each	"	210
Allowance to 31 warders at Rs. 2/- each. - -	"	62
High price allowance to 31 warders at Rs.5/- each	"	155

Mysore Jail.

10 temporary warders at Rs. 7/- each	"	70
Allowance to 10 warders at Rs. 2 each.	"	20
High price allowance " at Rs.5/- each.	"	50

Total Rs.577/- month or Rs. 6924/- per annum.

Yours sincerely

H. B. Mythoigana

To

P. Mahadevayya, Esqr.,
B.A., B.L.,
Genl.Rev. Sectt.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 21661

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 3/4

Signed by M. Rao

Section Pris

File No. 47-4

Serial No. 3

D. O. No. Q 10420

To

The I. G. Prisons

Dear Sir.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your D.O. letter no 567/81-21 dated 15.6. regarding the temporary wardens employed in guarding the Chalavanna Convict Labour, I am directed to request you to be so good as to forward a Comparative Statement showing the receipts & expenditure relating to the Chalavanna Convict Labour and the savings likely to be effected

R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.

114

DIARY No. 23933

D.O. 705/81-21 Rec: one.

No. Office of the Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore,

Dated, Bangalore, 18th May 1921.

Dear Sir,

As desired in your D. O. letter No.G.20420 dated 30th April 1921, I submit herewith a statement sho the receipts and expenditure and the probable savings likely to be effected by the abolition of extra-mural labour in both the Jails as per figures furnished by the Superintendent of the Bangalore Central and Mysore Jails.

Yours sincerely,

J. Srinivasa Rao

To

P. Mahadevayya Esqr., B.A.,B.L.,
Under Secretary,
General and Revenue Secretariat,
Bangalore.

Comparative statement showing the Receipts and Expenditure etc,, on account of Extramural employment of Convicts in both the Jails during the year 1920.

Places	Total number of convicts sent out-door work during the year	Amount realized.			Expenditure incurred for temporary - warders. Pay with allowance.			Cost of Uniform supplied to temporary - warders.			Total Expenditure			Savings to Government		
Bangalore Central - Jail	14,386	1798	4	0	4251	14	2	650	0	0	4901	14	2	3103	10	2
Mysore Jail.	6580	822	9	6	1064	0	0	146	12	4	1210	12	4	388	2	10
Total	20,966	2620	13	6	5315	14	2	796	12	4	6112	10	6	3491	13	0

S Srinivasa

Inspector-General of Prisons

[. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 23933

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Date of issue 7/6

Section Part 1

File No. 47-4

Serial No. 5

No. 24094

To

The S.S. Police

Sir

I am directed to state that in reply to your D.O. letter No. 707/87-21 dt. 15.4.21, the Cost of temporary warders employed in both the Police in guarding the extramural Convict Labour work at Yerawada Central Prison for one month, whereas, in the statement received with your D.O. letter No. 705/87-21 dt. 18th May 1921, the Cost including that of the uniform

over.

[illegible] the Convict Labour was

Pris. 3]

No. 800/81-21

Office of the Inspr.-Gen. of Prisons in Mysore,

Dated Bangalore, 13th June 1921.

FROM

Dr. H. B. Mylvaganam, F.R.C.S., (Eng).,

Inspector-General of Prisons

in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

B. Ramaswamaiya Esqr., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.G. 24094/Pris dated 9th June 1921, requesting me to explain the discrepancy observed in the figures furnished to Government in this Office D.O. letters Nos. 587/81-21 and 705/81-21 dated 15th April and 18th May 1921 respectively, in connection with the abolition of Temporary Warder Establishment employed in both the Jails in gaurding the extra-mural convict labour, I have the honor to state that the sum of Rs.6924/- shown in the D.O. letter No.587 referred to is the budget provision up to which the Jail authorities could incur expenditure under the head " Pay of Temporary Warders ", which will be savings to Government if the Temporary Establishments in both the Jails are abolished. But the sum of Rs.6112-10-6 shown in the 2nd communication is the actual amount spent under the said head in the two Jails for a period of one year during 1920-21 to-gether with the cost of Uniforms supplie to the number of Temporary Warders so employed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Ramaswamy

For Inspector-General of Prisons.

02936

191

FROM

THE INSPR.-GEN. OF PRISONS

IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary

to Govt. Rev. Dept.

Bangalor

Dated 13th June 21.

Despatched

Received

GEN. SECY.
Pris. Br.
13 JUN 1921

General No. 800

No. 81-21

Enclosure No.

Subject

Submits explanation regarding
discrepancy observed in the figures
mished to Government in respect
the abol
establishm
ployed
ural Co

To

The Private Secretary to

H.H.The Maharaja of Mysore.

J 1640

My dear Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.1207 dated 19th - January 1920, regarding the discontinuance of extra mural labour of convicts, I am ~~directed~~ desired to invite reference to paragraphs 216 to 225 of the recent report of the Indian Jails Committee, and to state that the arguments - against the system are strong and convincing. In view of the strong opinion expressed by the Committee, it is under contemplation to abolish the system altogether, both on grounds of policy and as a measure of retrenchment which will result in a saving of about 6112-10 Rs. Should the abolition be finally decided upon the supply of convict labour to the Government House at Mysore or the Residency will have to be stopped in future.

Before proceeding further in the matter, I am to request the favour of your further views on the ~~matter~~ proposal.

Yours faithfully,
~~I have etc.~~

Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

&c
19/9/24.

R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Prisons 29.9.21 1348/9 24

No 360

The Palace,
Mysore.
28th September 1921.

My dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. lette No.J 1640/Pris dated the 20th September 1921 requesting to be furnished with my further views on the proposal to discontinue the extr mural labour of convicts, I write to say tha I have no further views to offer except to a that whatever objection there is on princip to the indiscriminate use of convict labour for all purposes, to prevent which was the object of the Jail Committee, such objection cannot be applied with any degree of force t a limited use of convict labour in the case of important Government buildings.

Yours sincerely,
T Ramaswamiaya

B.Ramaswamiaya Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government
of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

-Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Confidential Report regarding Mr. W. Wright, Chief Jailor, for the year ending 30 June 1920.

Serial No.		Particulars.
1	Name.	Mr. W. Wright.
2	Name and date of substantive as well as present officiating appointment, if any, and the pay thereof.	Chief Jailor, 12.8.1916. Bangalore Central Jail Rs. 155/-
3	Age, with date of birth and the taluk of which he is a native.	22 May 1878. European 43 years
4	General educational qualifications and special tests passed, if any.	Police Inspr. Test. Prisons Examination.
5	Total service.	about 14 years.
6	Description of work on which the official is employed.	Executive & ministerial
7	Is the official hard working and how has he done his work? Is he prompt, careful and methodical? Is he dilatory or superficial?	(1) Not hard working, unsatisfactory (2) Neither prompt nor methodical, careless. (3) Very dilatory.
8	Has he a thorough grasp of regulations and rules bearing on the subject which he deals with and of procedure and accounts, and does he show any inclination to improve his knowledge?	He knows the Rules and Regulations but has no inclination to improve his knowledge.
9	Has he any special acquirements useful to the department in which he is employed or any other?	Nil.
10	Is he of active habits and is there anything in his work to show that his efficiency is impaired by his constitution or habits?	Man of a curious temper. Unsteady.
11	Has he any special aptitude for executive or any particular class of work?	He was formerly in the Police department.
12	What is your opinion generally about his ability and attainments, character and conduct and his power to command the confidence and respect of those who come into official relations with him?	Very unreliable and corrupt.
13	Is the official, in your opinion, fit or unfit for any higher appointment? State your reasons briefly.	No. He is unsteady and unreliable. Dangerous.

Reporting Officer.
Superintendent.
Central Jail
Bangalore.
20.7.20.

Remarks by the Head of the Department.

I know nothing of him

Agree.

2-8-1920

Prescribed as per G.O. No. G. 3137-70-I in
dated 6th September 1915

Confidential Report regarding Mr. R. Mallikarjunappa
for the year ending with the 30th June, 1920

Items	Particulars
Name in full	R. Mallikarjunappa
Nature and date of substantive as well as present officiating appointment, if any and the pay there of.	Jailer from 9-8-1916 on Rs 100-5-125. Present pay Rs 105.
Age, viz. date of birth and the taluk of which he is a native.	4th August 1875. Channarayapatna Tq. Hassan Dt.
General educational qualifications and special tests passed, if any.	Matriculation and Prison Test.
Total Service	20 years (from 1st July 1900
Description of work on which the official is employed.	Duties of Jailor.
Is the official hard working and how has he done his work? Is he prompt careful and methodical? Is he dilatory or superficial?	Hard working. Yes.
Has he thorough grasp of regulations and rules bearing on the subject which he deals with and of procedure and accounts, and does he show any inclination to improve his knowledge?	Yes.
Has he any special acquirements useful to the department in which he is employed or any other?	
Is he of active habits and is there anything in his work to show that his efficiency is maintained by his Constitution or habits?	Good habits
Has he any special aptitude for executive or supervising work?	fit for executive
What is your opinion generally about his ability and attainments, character and Conduct and his power to command the confidence and respect of those who come into official relations with him?	able and fit
Is the official, in your opinion, fit or unfit for any higher appointment? State your reasons briefly.	he is fit

4-7-1920. C. Rayappah
Reporting officer.
Superintendent Dist. Jail
Mysore

Remarks by the Head of the department
2-8-20 [signature]

Confidential Report regarding Mr. L. Narasimiah for the year ending 30th June 1920.

Serial No.		Particulars.
1	Name.	Mr. L. Narasimiah
2	Name and date of substantive as well as present officiating appointment, if any, and the pay thereof.	By Jailor, Bangalore Central Jail. 1.2.1915 Pay Rs. 100/-
3	Age, with date of birth and the taluk of which he is a native.	11.2.1874 47 years.
4	General educational qualifications and special tests passed, if any.	Middle School Examination Prisons Examination.
5	Total service.	29 years
6	Description of work on which the official is employed.	Executive & ministerial
7	Is the official hard working and how has he done his work? Is he prompt, careful and methodical? Is he dilatory or superficial?	(1) Very easy-going. Unsatisfactory (2) neither prompt nor methodical. (3) Very careless. (3) Very superficial.
8	Has he a thorough grasp of regulations and rules bearing on the subject which he deals with and of procedure and accounts, and does he show any inclination to improve his knowledge?	He Knows the regulations & rules but never improves
9	Has he any special acquirements useful to the department in which he is employed or any other?	Nil.
10	Is he of active habits and is there anything in his work to show that his efficiency is impaired by his constitution or habits?	Very dilatory, never active.
11	Has he any special aptitude for executive or any particular class of work?	Nil.
12	What is your opinion generally about his ability and attainments, character and conduct and his power to command the confidence and respect of those who come into official relations with him?	Unreliable & corrupt.

Remarks by the Head of the Department.

I Know nothing of Mr.

Mysore. Subbarayan

2-8-20

Reporting Officer.

20.7.20.

Superintendent
Central Jail
Bangalore

W. D. 705—GPB—2,000—27-9-15

Confidential Report regarding Mr. M Ramakrishna Rao for the year ending 30th June 1920

Serial No.		Particulars.
1	Name.	M Ramakrishna Rao.
2	Name and date of substantive as well as present officiating appointment, if any, and the pay thereof.	Manager Office of the Inspector General of Civil Supplies Rs 280/-
3	Age, with date of birth and the taluk of which he is a native.	43 years, 30-9-1877 Bearing Matriculation Examination of the Madras University Guntur Taluk
4	General educational qualifications and special tests passed, if any.	
5	Total service.	21 years 27 days
6	Description of work on which the official is employed.	Manager Office of the Inspector General of Civil Supplies
7	Is the official hard working and how has he done his work? Is he prompt, careful and methodical? Is he dilatory or superficial?	Yes. Satisfactorily Yes. No
8	Has he a thorough grasp of regulations and rules bearing on the subject which he deals with and of procedure and accounts, and does he show any inclination to improve his knowledge?	Yes. Yes.
9	Has he any special acquirements useful to the department in which he is employed or any other?	No.
10	Is he of active habits and is there anything in his work to show that his efficiency is impaired by his constitution or habits?	Yes — Nothing
11	Has he any special aptitude for executive or any particular class of work?	No.
12	What is your opinion generally about his ability and attainments, character and conduct and his power to command the confidence and respect of those who come into official relations with him?	Attainments Character Conduct Good.
13	Is the official, in your opinion, fit or unfit for any higher appointment? State your reasons briefly.	Fit- Hard working:

Reporting Officer.

Remarks by the Head of the Department.

Concurred

2-8-20

W. D. 705—GPB—2,600—27-9-15

Confidential Report regarding Mr. Abdulla Hussain for the year ending 30th June 1920. Sheriff.

Serial No.		Particulars.
1	Name.	Mr. Abdulla Hussain Sheriff
2	Name and date of substantive as well as present officiating appointment, if any, and the pay thereof.	Probationer, Prisons Dept. 29-11-17. Pay - Rs. 75/-
3	Age, with date of birth and the taluk of which he is a native.	27 years., Born 29th November 1893
4	General educational qualifications and special tests passed, if any.	B.A. Degree Examination of the Madras University. Criminal Higher account Lower Prisons Examination
5	Total service.	2 years & 8 months.
6	Description of work on which the official is employed.	Executive & Clerical.
7	Is the official hard working and how has he done his work? Is he prompt, careful and methodical? Is he dilatory or superficial?	(1) Hard working. Has worked satisfactorily. (2) Prompt, careful & methodical. (3) No.
8	Has he a thorough grasp of regulations and rules bearing on the subject which he deals with and of procedure and accounts, and does he show any inclination to improve his knowledge?	He has a good grasp of regulations and rules &c. and shows every inclination to improve his knowledge.
9	Has he any special acquirements useful to the department in which he is employed or any other?	He had a special training for the department.
10	Is he of active habits and is there anything in his work to show that his efficiency is impaired by his constitution or habits?	active and of very good habits.
11	Has he any special aptitude for executive or any particular class of work?	He is quite young and active.
12	What is your opinion generally about his ability and attainments, character and conduct and his power to command the confidence and respect of those who come into official relations with him?	Respectable and quite honest.

Remarks by the Head of the Department.

Knows much about this
Work as yet.

Calicut
2-8-20.

Reporting Officer. Superintendent
Central Jail
Bellary
20.7.20.